

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,500	12/12/2003	Vladislav Vashchenko	P05760	1893
7590 . 10/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Jurgen Vollrath			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
588 Sutter Street #531 San Francisco, CA 94102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		М.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/735,500	VASHCHENKO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James M. Mitchell	2813					
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 67 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>13 July 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,7,8,11,12	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,7,8,11,12,14-18 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,9,10,13 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to l	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	• • •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority do							
	cuments have been received in A	· ·					
·	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage					
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received					
See the attached detailed Office action is	or a list of the certified copies flot	received.					
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/735,500

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This office action is in response to applicant's election filed July 13, 2005.

Election

2. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14-18 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election of species drawn to Figure 3 was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 13, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 9, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Penquignot et al. (U.S. 2004/0135141).
- 5. Penquignot (Fig. 4, 15) discloses:
- (cl. 1, 19) a multiple input ESD protection structure, comprising a first p-well (5, 6) formed in a first n-well (8), a second p-well (5, 6) formed in a second n-well (8), an isolation ring (3,3A; Par. 0055) between the n-wells and extending around the two n-

A 1' 1' 10

Art Unit: 2813

wells, a first input region

(i.e. top surface) formed in the first p-well, a second input region (i.e. top surface) formed in the second p-well, a contact (12) to the first input region, a contact to the second input region (12A), and a contact (14) to the isolation ring.

(cl. 9) wherein n-isolation regions (DT) are formed beneath at least one of the n-wells (Fig. 15);

(cont. cl. 19) and the ring is connected to ground or biased to a predefined voltage (Fig. 4; Par. 0036).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penguignot et al. (U.S. 2004/0135141).
- 8. Penquignot discloses the elements stated in paragraph 5 of this office action and further at least one of the first and second input regions includes a p (i.e. 5) and n region (i.e. 8).
- 9. Penquignot does not disclose the concentration being a + concentration.
- 10. Nevertheless, the prior art discloses the claimed invention except for the specific doping concentration. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 10/735,500 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

the time the invention was made to change the concentration, since it has been held that where the general working conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

- 11. Claims 2, 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penquignot et al. (U.S. 2004/0135141) in combination with Salling et al. (U.S. 2004/0114287).
- 12. Penquignot discloses the elements stated in paragraph 5 of this office action, but does not appear to show that its ring is p+ region.
- 13. Salling utilizes a ring in a p+ region (Par. 0010).
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the ring of Penquignot by incorporating it in a p+ region to provide isotropic flow of the substrate thereby allowing discharge to turn on uniformly as taught by Salling (Par. 0009-0010).

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses most notably in EL-Kareh (U.S. 5,763,918) the formation of an ESD including n well and ring in a region.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5eptember/26,/2005

CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5